Docket : A.13-11-003

Exhibit Number : ORA-02
Commissioner : Peevey

ALJ : <u>Darling/Dudney</u>

Witness : Jarjoura



OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Report on the Results of Operations for Southern California Edison Company General Rate Case Test Year 2014

Summary of Earnings and Jurisdictional Allocation

San Francisco, California August 4, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	. 1
III.	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF THE SUMMARY OF EARNINGS	.2
	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION	.8

SUMMARY OF EARNINGS And JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION

3 I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

4	The Summary of Earnings tables are displayed in this exhibit. The revenue
5	requirements are calculated by a computer model developed by the Southern
6	California Edison Company (SCE or Edison), which is referred to as the Results of
7	Operations (RO) model. The data inputs are provided by the various witnesses for
8	the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA). These inputs are then used by the RO
9	model to calculate the results of operations.

This exhibit also presents ORA's analysis and recommendations regarding jurisdictional allocation between the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). The FERC has jurisdiction over the Independent System Operator (ISO) controlled portion of SCE's transmission and distribution (T&D) system. The CPUC has jurisdiction over the remaining T&D system as well as all the generation facilities owned by SCE.

II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The Results of Operations are summarized in five tables shown at the end of Section III of this exhibit.

- Table 2-1 contains ORA's recommended CPUC revenue requirements at present and proposed rates.
- Table 2-2 displays the comparison of ORA and SCE CPUC revenue requirements at present rates.
- Table 2-3 displays the comparison of ORA and SCE CPUC revenue requirements at proposed rates.
 - Table 2-4 shows ORA's recommended revenue requirements at proposed rates detailing revenues for total company and the allocation between the CPUC and the California Independent System Operator (ISO) / Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) jurisdictions.

 Table 2-5 shows SCE's requested revenue requirements at proposed rates detailing revenues for total company and the allocation between the CPUC and ISO/FERC jurisdictions.

ORA also recommends that the Commission adopt the jurisdictional allocation factors used by Edison and ORA to allocate cost and revenue requirement between the two jurisdictions subject to changes that may occur due to further analyses of the allocation model.

III. DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS OF THE SUMMARY OF EARNINGS

SCE revised the RO model used in the 2012 General Rate Case (GRC) to provide "a more streamlined calculation of Federal and State tax depreciation expenses." ORA performed limited testing of the RO model filed with SCE's application on November 12, 2013, and found it to reflect a reasonable calculation of the Summary of Earnings. On February 10, 2014, SCE updated its RO model to reflect the sale of SCE's share of the Four Corners power plant. On April 8, 2014 SCE provided another updated RO that reflects the removal of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) revenue requirement. ORA did not have the time to test these two updated versions and may do so at a later date.

ORA used SCE's April 8 updated version to calculate the results of operation contained in its showing. The various ORA witnesses provided the input data for the RO model. Discussions and analyses of the input data are contained in various ORA exhibits. ORA made some minor modifications to the RO model to accommodate ORA's witnesses' requests so that the model can properly reflect their recommendations.

The values shown in the following tables were extracted from two versions of the RO model. Values shown in the SCE columns were extracted from the RO version 4.0 that was submitted on April 8, 2014. Numbers displayed in the ORA columns were extracted from an ORA scenario adapted from the RO version that was updated by SCE for removal of SONGS and was provided to ORA on April 8, 2014.

		TABLE 2-1			
	SCE	GRC Test Year	2015		
ORA Summary of Earnings At Present And Proposed Rates CPUC Jurisdiction					
	Thousa	ands of Nominal	Dollars		
		ORA	ORA		
		Revenues at	Revenues at	ORA'S	ORA'S
line		Present	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed
No	Description	Rates	Rates	Increase (\$)	Increase(%)
1	OPERATING REVENUES:				
2	Base Rate Revenue	\$5,632,680	\$5,168,067	-\$464,613	-8.25%
3	OPERATING EXPENSES:				
4	Production				
5	Steam	\$2,757	\$2,757	\$0	0.00%
6	Nuclear	\$73,818	\$73,818	\$0	0.00%
7	Hydro	\$48,872	\$48,872	\$0	0.00%
8	Other	\$108,937	\$108,937	\$0	0.00%
9	Subtotal - Production	\$234,384	\$234,384	\$0	0.00%
10	Amortization of Legacy Meters	\$64,500	\$64,500	\$0	0.00%
11	MoJave Credit	-\$227	-\$227	\$0	0.00%
12	SONGS Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA
13	Transmission	\$83,356	\$83,356	\$0	0.00%
14	Distribution	\$445,701	\$445,701	\$0	0.00%
15	Customer Accounts	\$141,654	\$141,654	\$0	0.00%
16		\$12,635	\$11,461	-\$1,174	-9.29%
17		\$37,004	\$37,004	\$0	0.00%
	Administrative & General	\$753,900	\$753,900	\$0	0.00%
	Franchise Requirements Revenue Credits	\$51,812	\$47,001	-\$4,810	-9.28%
21	Subtotal	-\$156,080 \$1,604,366	-\$156,080 \$1,598,382	\$0 -\$5,984	0.00% -0.37%
Z I	Subtotal	\$1,004,300	Φ1,590,302	-\$5,964	-0.37 %
22	Escalation	\$106,696	\$106,696	\$0	0.00%
23	Depreciation	\$1,428,452	\$1,428,452	\$0	0.00%
24	Taxes - Other	\$240,255	\$240,255	\$0	0.00%
25	Taxes - Income	\$525,557	\$403,959	-\$121,598	-23.14%
26	Subtotal - Taxes	\$765,812	\$644,214	-\$121,598	-15.88%
27	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	\$3,905,326	\$3,777,743	-\$127,583	-3.27%
28	NET REVENUE	\$1,727,354	\$1,390,323	-\$337,031	-19.51%
29	RATE BASE	\$17,627,554	\$17,627,554	\$0	0.00%
30	RATE OF RETURN	9.80%	7.89%	-1.91%	-19.51%

		TABLE 2-2			
	QCE	GRC Test Year	2015		
	Comparison of Summary of Earnings At Present Rates				
	CPUC Jurisdiction Thousands of Nominal Dollars				
	Thousands of Nominal Dollars SCE ORA SCE SCE				
line		Revenues at	_	Exceeds	Exceeds
No	Description	Present	Present	ORA (\$)	ORA (%)
1	OPERATING REVENUES:	1 TOSCIIC	1 103011	Οι (τ (ψ)	0101(70)
2	Base Rate Revenue	\$5,632,680	\$5,632,680	\$0	0.00%
				·	
3	OPERATING EXPENSES:				
4	Production	A7.040	00 757	0.4.500	400.050/
5	Steam	\$7,343	\$2,757	\$4,586	166.35%
6	Nuclear	\$73,818	\$73,818	\$0 \$4.353	0.00%
7	Hydro	\$53,225	\$48,872	\$4,353	8.91%
8	Other Subtotal - Production	\$122,668	\$108,937	\$13,731	12.60% 9.67%
		\$257,054	\$234,384	\$22,670	
10	Amortization of Legacy Meters	\$64,500	\$64,500	\$0	0.00%
11	MoJave Credit	-\$269	-\$227	-\$43	18.77%
12	SONGS Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA
13	Transmission	\$93,645	\$83,356	\$10,289	12.34%
14	Distribution	\$548,384	\$445,701	\$102,683	23.04%
		\$175,787	\$141,654	\$34,132	24.10%
	Uncollectibles	\$14,437	\$12,635	\$1,802	14.26%
17	Customer Service & Information	\$39,105	\$37,004	\$2,101	5.68%
18	Administrative & General	\$877,837	\$753,900	\$123,937	16.44%
19	Franchise Requirements	\$51,811	\$51,812	\$0	0.00%
20	Revenue Credits	-\$152,574	-\$156,080	\$3,507	-2.25%
21	Subtotal	\$1,905,487	\$1,604,366	\$301,121	18.77%
22	Escalation	\$123,555	\$106,696	\$0	0.00%
23	Depreciation	\$1,700,569	\$1,428,452	\$0	0.00%
24	Taxes - Other	\$255,695	\$240,255	\$0	0.00%
25	Taxes - Income	\$272,848	\$525,557	\$15,440	2.94%
26	Subtotal - Taxes	\$528,542	\$765,812	-\$237,270	-30.98%
27	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENS	E \$4,258,153	\$3,905,326	\$352,827	9.03%
28	NET REVENUE	\$1,374,527	\$1,727,354	-\$352,827	-20.43%
29	RATE BASE	\$18,685,586	\$17,627,554	\$1,058,032	6.00%
30	RATE OF RETURN	7.36%	9.80%	-2.44%	-24.93%

		TABLE 2-3			
	SCE	GRC Test Year	2015		
	Comparison of Sum				
	CPUC Jurisdiction				
	Thousands of Nominal Dollars				
	SCE ORA				
		Revenues at	Revenues at	SCE	
line		Proposed	Proposed	Exceeds	SCE exceeds
No.	Description	Rates	Rates	ORA (\$)	ORA (%)
1	OPERATING REVENUES:	. 10.100	1 10.100	σ. υ. (φ)	3.4.(76)
2	Base Rate Revenue	\$5,860,457	\$5,168,067	\$692,390	13.40%
		. , ,	. , ,	, ,	
3	OPERATING EXPENSES:				
4	Production	A7.040	00.757	0.4.500	400.050/
5	Steam	\$7,343	\$2,757	\$4,586	166.35%
6 7	Nuclear	\$73,818	\$73,818	\$0 \$4.252	0.00%
	Hydro	\$53,225	\$48,872	\$4,353	8.91%
8	Other	\$122,668	\$108,937	\$13,731	12.60%
9	Subtotal - Production	\$257,054	\$234,384	\$22,670	9.67%
10	Amortization of Legacy Meters	\$64,500	\$64,500	\$0	0.00%
11	MoJave Credit	-\$269	-\$227	-\$43	18.77%
12	SONGS Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA
13	Transmission	\$93,645	\$83,356	\$10,289	12.34%
14	Distribution	\$548,384	\$445,701	\$102,683	23.04%
15	Customer Accounts	\$175,787	\$141,654	\$34,132	24.10%
16		\$14,853	\$11,461	\$3,392	29.59%
17		\$39,105	\$37,004	\$2,101	5.68%
18		\$877,837	\$753,900	\$123,937	16.44%
19	Franchise Requirements Revenue Credits	\$53,299	\$47,001	\$6,297	13.40%
21		-\$152,574 \$1,907,389	-\$156,080 \$1,598,382	\$3,507 \$309,008	-2.25% 19.33%
∠ I	Subtotal	\$1,907,369	φ1,590,30Z	ф309,000	19.33%
22	Escalation	\$123,555	\$106,696	\$16,859	15.80%
23	Depreciation	\$1,700,569	\$1,428,452	\$272,117	19.05%
24	Taxes - Other	\$255,695	\$240,255	\$15,440	6.43%
25	Taxes - Income	\$399,367	\$403,959	-\$4,592	-1.14%
26	Subtotal - Taxes	\$655,061	\$644,214	\$10,847	1.68%
27	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	\$4,386,575	\$3,777,743	\$608,831	16.12%
28	NET REVENUE	\$1,473,883	\$1,390,323	\$83,559	6.01%
29	RATE BASE	\$18,685,586	\$17,627,554	\$1,058,032	6.00%
30	RATE OF RETURN	7.89%	7.89%	0.00%	0.01%

	205	TABLE 2.4	. 0045			
	SCE GRC Test Year 2015					
	ORA Summary Of Earnings At Proposed Rates					
	Detailed Company Total Thousands Of Nominal Dollars					
	rnousa	nas Of Nomina	Dollars			
1 :		Total				
Line No.	Description	Total	ISO	CPUC		
1	Description TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	Company	13.0	CPUC		
2	Base Rate Revenue	6,040,248	936,454	5,168,067		
	base Nate Nevertue	0,040,240	930,434	3,100,007		
3	OPERATING EXPENSES:					
4	Production					
5	Steam	2,757	-	2,757		
6	Nuclear	73,818	-	73,818		
7	Hydro	48,872	-	48,872		
8	Other	108,937	-	108,937		
9	Subtotal - Production	234,384	234,384	234,384		
10	Amortization of Legacy Meters		-	\$64,500		
11	MoJave Credit		-	-\$227		
12	SONGS Credit			\$0		
10	- · ·	457.000	74.550	00.050		
13	Transmission	157,908	74,552	83,356		
14	Distribution	449,098	3,397	445,701		
15 16	Customer Accounts Uncollectibles	141,654	2.070	141,654		
17	Customer Service & Information	13,409 37,004	2,079	11,461 37,004		
18	Administrative & General	800,650	46,749	753,900		
19	Franchise Requirements	54,936	8,517	47,001		
20	Revenue Credits	(201,909)	(45,829)	(156,080)		
21	Subtotal	1,687,134	89,465	1,598,382		
22	Escalation	113,846	7,150	106,696		
23	Depreciation	1,620,706	241,354	1,428,452		
24	Taxes Other Than On Income	299,232	58,977	240,255		
25	Taxes Based On Income	519,791	120,911	403,959		
26	Subtotal - Taxes	819,023	179,887	644,214		
27	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	4,240,709	517,857	3,777,743		
28	NET OPERATING REVENUE	1,799,539	418,597	1,390,323		
29	RATE BASE	22,778,976	5,298,702	17,627,554		
30	RATE OF RETURN	7.90%	7.90%	7.89%		

		TABLE 2-5		
	SCE			
	SCE Summary	ites		
	Deta			
	Hiousa	nds Of Nomina T	Dollars	
Line		Total		
No.	Description	Company	ISO	CPUC
1	OPERATING REVENUES:	Company	100	01 00
-		6 770 450	000 000	E 000 4E7
2	Base Rate Revenue	6,778,458	982,232	5,860,457
3	OPERATING EXPENSES:		-	-
4	Production		-	-
5	Steam	7,343	-	7,343
6	Nuclear	73,818	-	73,818
7	Hydro	53,225	-	53,225
8	Other	122,668	-	122,668
9	Subtotal - Production	257,054	-	257,054
10	Amortization of Legacy Meters		_	64,500
11	MoJave Credit		_	(269)
12	SONGS Credit			-
13	Transmission	176,454	82,809	93,645
14	Distribution	556,392	8,007	548,384
15	Customer Accounts	175,787	-	175,787
16	Uncollectibles	17,217	2,495	14,853
17	Customer Service & Information	39,105	-	39,105
18	Administrative & General	936,012	58,176	877,837
19	Franchise Requirements	61,650	8,933	53,299
20	Revenue Credits	(198,203)	(45,629)	(152,574)
21	Subtotal	2,021,468	114,791	1,907,389
00	E l. d'	400.050	0.005	400 555
22	Escalation	132,650	9,095	123,555
23	Depreciation	1,919,149	267,680	1,700,569
0.4	To a Otto Tion On to an	044000	50 500	055.005
24	Taxes Other Than On Income	314,290	58,596	255,695
25	Taxes Based On Income	507,171	112,866	399,367
26	Subtotal - Taxes	821,461	171,461	655,061
27	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	4,894,728	563,027	4,386,575
28	NET OPERATING REVENUE	1,883,731	419,205	1,473,883
29	RATE BASE	23,844,693	5,306,388	18,685,586
30	RATE OF RETURN	7.90%	7.90%	7.89%

IV. DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS OF JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION

The issue of jurisdictional allocation was litigated in SCE's 2003 GRC. ORA recommended the use of the three factors: labor expense, operation and maintenance expense, and plant additions. In D.04-07-022, the Commission adopted Edison's methodology which essentially uses allocation factors based on a T&D study and on labor costs. Edison updated the T&D study using the most recent recorded data to determine the jurisdictional allocation factors used in the current application.

Table 2-6 compares ORA's and Edison's proposed revenue requirement allocation between the two jurisdictions.

	Table 2-6			
ORA's and Edis	son's Jurisdict	ional Allocatio	on	
(Thousa	ands of Nominal	Dollars)		
	ORA	Edison	SCE Exceeds ORA (\$)	Percentage Difference
Total Company	6,040,248	6,778,458	\$738,211	12.22%
FERC Jurisdictional	936,454	982,232	\$45,778	4.89%
CPUC Jurisdictional	5,168,067	5,860,457	\$692,390	13.40%

(

in D.04-07-022 to determine the jurisdictional allocation factors. ORA also used the same allocation factors calculated by Edison.

ORA reviewed Edison's workpapers supporting the T&D study and the calculation of the jurisdictional allocation factors, and does not object to using these factors in this GRC. ORA recommends using in this rate case the jurisdictional allocation methodology adopted by the Commission in D.04-07-022. Both ORA and SCE use the jurisdictional allocation factors based on this methodology.

the difference in total company revenue requirement and not to the methodology

used to calculate the jurisdictional allocation. Edison used the methodology adopted

The difference between ORA's and Edison's jurisdictional amounts is due to

- ORA recommends that the Commission adopt the jurisdictional allocation
- 2 factors used by Edison and ORA in this GRC to allocate cost and revenue
- 3 requirement between the two jurisdictions.